

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
10

11 BRITTANY RENEE GIBSON,

12 Petitioner,

13 v.

14 STATE OF CALIFORNIA,

15 Respondent.  
16

No. 2:22-cv-00844 KJM CSK

ORDER

17 Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, filed this application for a writ of habeas  
18 corpus under 28 U.S.C. § 2254. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge as  
19 provided by 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.

20 On October 30, 2025, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations, which  
21 were served on all parties and which contained notice to all parties that any objections to the  
22 findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. Petitioner filed objections  
23 to certain portions of the findings and recommendations.

24 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule 304, this  
25 court has conducted a *de novo* review of this case. Having reviewed the file, the court finds the  
26 findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by proper analysis.

27 /////

28 /////

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

1. The findings and recommendations (ECF No. 33) are adopted in full;
2. Petitioner's amended petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied; and
3. The court declines to issue the certificate of appealability referenced in 28 U.S.C. § 2253.

DATED: December 31, 2025.

  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE